1	JULIANA DROUS Attorney at Law SB#92156		
2	214 Duboce Avenue San Francisco, California 94103		
3	Telephone: (415) 863-3580 Facsimile: (415) 255-8631 jdrous@msn.com		
5	Attorney for Defendant		
6 SOPHIA ROSES			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	LDUTTED CTATEC) NO. CR-09-0148 JSW	
11	UNITED STATES,))	
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	V.	ORDER CONTINUING COURT DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM	
14	SOPHIA ROSES,) JANUARY 7, 2010 TO FEBRUARY 4, 2010) FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
15	Defendant.) CALCULATION) [18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv)]	
16			
17	On January 7, 2010, the parties in this	case appeared before the Court for a status	
18	conference. At that time, the Court set a further status hearing for January 21, 2010 and		
19	appointed new counsel. The government, represented by Assistant United Attorney, Anna Tryon		
20	Pletcher, and defendant Sophia Roses, through counsel, Juliana Drous, hereby stipulate as		
21	follows:		
22	1. The status hearing now scheduled o	n January 21, 2010 be continued to February 4,	
23	2010. This request is made to allow the parties additional time to resolve this matter. Counsel		
24	for the defendant was appointed on January 7, 2010. The case is more complicated than counsel		
25	initially contemplated. Additional information is needed in order to assure effective assistance o		
26	counsel.		
27	2. At the hearing on January 7, 2010, the parties agreed to exclude the period of time		
28	between January 7, 2010 and January 21, 2010 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. §		

1	3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time	
2	necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties	
3	also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the	
4	best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At	
5	the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.	
6	3. The parties further agree that time should continue to be excluded under the Speedy	
7	Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) from January 21, 2010, to February 4, 2010.	
8	The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion outweigh the best	
9	interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The	
10	exclusion would allow reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account	
11	the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
12	SO STIPULATED:	
13		
14	DATED: January 14, 2010 /s/	
15	Attorney for SOPHIA ROSES	
16		
17	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
18	Office States Attorney	
19	DATED: January 14, 2010 /s/	
20	ANNA TRYON PLETCHER Assistant United States Attorney	
21	Assistant Office States Attorney	
22	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
23	The status hearing now scheduled on January 21, 2010 will be continued to February 4, 2010	
24	For the reasons stated above and at the January 7, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that the	
25	exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from January 7,	
26	2010 to February 4, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance	
27	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.	
28	§3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the	

	Case-3::09-cr-00148-JSW Document 22 Filed 01/14/10 Page 33:06 f33		
1	defendant and for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking		
2	into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.		
3	§3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
4	The Court FURTHER ORDERS that the parties shall address whether the time between 1/4/2010 and 1/7/2010 also should be excluded. <i>See</i> Docket Entry #14.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	Any request to exclude that time shall be filed with the Court by no later than January 28, 2010.		
7	DATED January 14, 2010		
8	HOW JEHFREY S. WHITE United States Vistrict Judge		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - CONTINUANCE OF COURT DATE		